UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,263	03/02/2005	Peter Horstmann	022862-1054-00	6906
	7590 01/29/201 ST & FRIEDRICH LL	EXAMINER		
100 E WISCON Suite 3300	ISIN AVENUE	CIRIC, LJILJANA V		
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/526,263	HORSTMANN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3744			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ R4	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Au</u>	iaust 2009				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
<i>′</i> =	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	parte Quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	30 0.0. 210.			
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ CI	☑ Claim(s) <u>18 and 21-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) <u></u> CI	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ CI	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18 and 21-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) <u></u> CI	aim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) <u></u> CI	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Ap	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Re	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) <u></u> Th	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of Signature 1 Notice 1 Notice of Signature 1 Notice	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on August 3, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, for example, that the Hohl et al. reference is not readable on the claims of the instant application as amended because Hohl et al. does not teach nor suggest a heat exchanger being a source of waste heat. This is not found persuasive, however, because each of waste heat source elements 70 or 80 of Hohl et al. has a coolant flowing therethrough and absorbing waste heat therefrom, thus rendering each of elements 70 or 80 a heat exchanger at least as broadly interpreted as required for pending claims.

Applicant also argues, for example, that the Hohl et al. reference fails to disclose that coolant is pumped directly into the cylinder block 21, with the Hohl et al. reference purportedly only disclosing that coolant is pumped into the engine block 22 through the coolant inlet 23 and then to the cylinder block 21. However, In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the coolant is pumped directly into the engine block only without going through a coolant inlet opening even) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). The claims recite (a) "said at least one coolant pump for pumping said coolant directly into said cylinder block and engine block" and "pumping said coolant in said coolant circuit directly into said cylinder head and engine block." Thus, the claims recite precisely what the applicant states that the Hohl et al. reference discloses, namely that the coolant is pumped directly into the engine block and the cylinder block in combination as broadly interpreted as required. Absent an inlet to the engine block/cylinder block, coolant could not be pumped into the same; hence, a coolant inlet is inherent to the invention as recited in the claims and the inlet does not preclude directness of pumping.

Art Unit: 3744

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 18 and 21 through 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hohl et al.

Hohl et al. discloses a system for regulating the heat balance of a motor vehicle and a method of using the same, including, for example: an engine 22 comprising a cylinder head 21; a coolant circuit for dissipating heat generated in the engine 22, the coolant circuit comprising at least one coolant pump 30, a cooler 10, a heating circuit including heating heat exchanger 35 for heating the passenger compartment of the vehicle, and a cooling circuit including coolant-to-air cooler 10; at least one component 70 or 80 which produces waste heat; and, a cooler segment 15 readable on the means for transferring the waste

heat from the at least one component 70 or 80 to the coolant in the coolant circuit. As broadly interpreted as required, the at least one component 70 or 80 is at least broadly readable on the waste heat exchanger as recited in the claims of the instant application.

The reference thus reads on the claims.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric whose telephone number is 571-272-4909. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached weekdays between 10:30 a.m. and 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

Application/Control Number: 10/526,263 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744